

www.ssmr-2020.gr







"Επώδυνη μετεγχειρητική άρθρωση νεώτερες υβριδικές τεχνικές ΡΕΤ/CT & PET/MRI"

Γεώργιος Ζ. Παπαδάκης, MD, MPH, PhD

Πανεπιστημιακός Υπότροφος Τομέα Ακτινολογίας Συνεργαζόμενος Ερευνητής Ινστιτούτο Πληροφορικής, Ίδρυμα Τεχνολογίας & Έρευνας

contact: gzpapadakis@gmail.com

Nothing to Disclose

23-25 OKTΩBPIOY 2020 HPAKAEIO KPHTHΣ Ibis Styles Heraklion Central



perform

Evaluation of Joint Replacements & Postoperative Complications



PET/CT & PET/MRI

Evaluation of joint replacement complications and posttherapy evaluations are the new role of PET/CT and PET/MRI because of the limitations of MRI and CT in these settings (e.g., susceptibility artifacts in MRI and beamhardening artifacts in CT) and low sensitivity of ultrasound and radiographs.

Also, double challenging in the



Ox

Μόριο ¹⁸F-Fluorodeo





Most widely used PET tracer for Oncologic purposes



Highly Sensitive ≠ NON sPECIFIC

Taken up by sites of Inflammation and Infection







¹⁸F-FDG PET vs single-photon emitters

¹⁸F-FDG PET offers multiple advantages

Time savings (compared with dual-tracer techniques, which need dual-image acquisition).

□ Higher spatial resolution

Improved safety profile when compared with the complexity and risks of labeled WBC use, including direct handling of blood products.





¹⁸F-FDG PET vs single-photon emitters

 \Box Prospective study \rightarrow patients with painful hip or knee arthroplasty.

A total of 134 hip and 87 knee prostheses, suspected of being either infected or noninfectious loosening, were evaluated.

□ All 221 prostheses underwent FDG PET, whereas both WBC/BM imaging and FDG PET were performed in 88 prostheses.

Final diagnosis was based on microbiological examinations of the surgical specimens in 125 prostheses and joint aspirations combined with the clinical follow-up of 6 months.







¹⁸F-FDG PET vs single-photon emitters

¹⁸F-FDG PET in hip prostheses

Sensitivity: 81.8% Specificity:93.1% PPV: 79.4% NPV: 94.0%

WBC/BM imaging in hip prostheses

Sensitivity:38.5% Specificity:95.7% PPV: 71.4% NPV: 84.6%

¹⁸F-FDG PET in knee prostheses Sensitivity: 94.7% Specificity:88.2%

PPV: 69.2% NPV: 98.4%

WBC/BM imaging in knee prostheses

Sensitivity:33.3% Specificity:88.5% PPV: 25.0% NPV: 92.0%





¹⁸F-FDG PET

□ 74 prostheses in 62 patients in whom infection was suspected after artificial hip or knee placement

A final diagnosis was made by surgical exploration or clinical follow-up for 1 y.

¹⁸F-FDG PET in knee prostheses

Sensitivity: 95.9% Specificity:82.0% Accuracy: 77.8%

¹⁸F-FDG PET in hip prostheses Sensitivity: 90,1% Specificity:89.3% Accuracy: 89.5%

Overall Sensitivity & specificity for lower limb infections 90.5% & 84.1%





¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT is a promising imaging modality that can aid in the work up of patients with suspected implant-related infections and may be used as a supportive measure in clinical decision making.





¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT

- □ A 47-year old who presented with fever, pain and swelling of his left shin went years after plating of the tibia.
- □ The patient had no superficial fistulation and conventional radiographs did notdemonstrate radiolucency around the implant.
- PET/CT demonstrated highly increased FDG uptake in the tibial shaft involving the implant-bone interface supporting the diagnosis of osteomyelitis.
- Decision was made to remove the hardware and perform partial ostectomy of the involved bone and stabilize the leg with an external fixator.





Section of the sectio

¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT

- □ A53-year old man who presented with signs of infection four months following open reduction and internal fixation for a tibial plateau fracture.
- Reconstructed CT PET and coregistered PET/CT demonstrated highly increased
 FDG uptake in the proximal tibia involving both the implant-bone interface and the joint space, suggesting osteomyelitis.
- □ On the following surgery, the hardware was completely removed and the patient underwent total knee arthroplasty(E).







Differentiation between septic or aseptic loosening of endoprostheses.

¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT

¹⁸F-FDG-PET examinations and multiphase bone scan were performed on hip and knee endoprostheses in 27 patients prior to revision surgical procedures planned for prosthetic loosening.

□ Intact prostheses were found at the opposite site in some patients so that additional 9 joints could be examined with the field of view of ¹⁸F-FDG PET.

Verification and valuation of the PET and scintigraphic image findings were conducted by comparing them with information combined from intraoperative findings, histopathology, and microbiological investigations.



Results

Evaluation of Joint Replacements & Postoperative Complications

¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT

Differentiation between septic or aseptic loosening of endoprostheses.

□Evidence of loosening was correctly determined in 76.4% of cases using ¹⁸F-FDG-PET, and in 55% of cases using bone scan.

The detection of periprosthetic inflammation using ¹⁸F-FDG-PET had a sensitivity of 100% for septic cases and of 45.5% in cases of increased abrasion.

Reliable differentiation between abrasion-induced and bacterialcaused inflammation was not possible using ¹⁸F-FDG-PET.







¹⁸F-Fluoro-deoxyglucose positron emission tomography (¹⁸F-FDG-PET) allows reliable prediction of peri-prosthetic septical inflammatory tissue reactions.

Because of the high sensitivity of this method, a negative PET result in the setting of a diagnostically unclear situation eliminates the need for revision surgery.

In contrast, a positive PET result gives no clear differentiation regarding the cause of inflammation.







79-year-old man with prior left total PET/CT for

PET

(SI

Confident differentiation between infectious and abrasion-induced inflammation is not possible using FDG PET.

 Beca with same and same arthrocentes arthritis. However, a negative scan can reliably exclude underlying infection.

Hip aspirate culture was negative, abrasion-induced inflammation.

Gholamrezanezhad et al., AJR 2018



Differentiation

between infectious

inflammation and

aseptic loosening

Evaluation of Joint Replacements & Postoperative Complications

STATUTING STATUTION

¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT

no loosening

- no increased periprosthetic uptake (not shown)
- 2: neck of the prosthesis
- 3a: neck of the prosthesis + parts of the cup
- 3b: neck of the prosthesis + proximal shaft
- 3c: pattern 3a + 3b

Qualitative visual analysis of tracer distribution according to the classification system of Reinartz et al

loosening

- 4a: neck of the prosthesis + total cup
- 4b: neck of the prosthesis + wide parts of the shaft
- 4c: pattern 4a + 4b



5: periprosthetic soft tissue







4a

4b







Evaluation of Joint Replacements & Postoperative Complications ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT

Pitfalls

Orthopedic surgical interventions often cause increased focal uptake for an extended period at FDG PET.

The distinction of benign reactive and postoperative hypermetabolism from pathologic uptake is important to minimize false-positive interpretations.

This mainly relies on a detailed review of the patient's medical and surgical history and careful correlation of the location and pattern of hypermetabolism with the anatomic information on the co-registered CT or MR images.





¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT

Pitfalls

- 72-year-old woman who underwent right and left shoulder hemiarthroplasty.
- □ Left shoulder hemiarthroplasty and distal clavicle open reduction with internal fixation performed 10 months before PET/CT.
- Right reverse shoulder arthroplasty that was placed during interim between date of PET/CT and left shoulder hemiarthroplasty.
- No hypermetabolism associated with remote left shoulder hemiarthroplasty.
- Mild hypermetabolism is identified surrounding more recent right reverse shoulder arthroplasty, indicative of postoperative inflammatory changes







¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT allows reliable detection of peri-prosthetic septical inflammation

□ A negative ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT scan eliminates the need for revision surgery.

Cannot differentiate between septic or aseptic joint loosening.

Novel PET-tracers –> Sterile inflammation



Thank you



